Modified PTO/SB/33 (10-05)

		Docket Num	Docket Number	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Q76293		
	Application	n Number	Filed	
Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents	10/612,	089	July 3, 2003	
	First Nam	ed Inventor		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-14		Sven Maurice Joseph OOGHE		
	Art Unit		Examiner Mon Cheri S.	
	2416		DAVENPORT	
WASH	INGTON OFFICE			
_	3373 OMER NUMBER			
Applicant requests review of the final reje amendments are being filed with this requ		ntified applic	eation. No	
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal				
The review is requested for the reasons(s) Note: No more than five (5) pages		sheet(s).		
☑ I am an attorney or agent of record.				
Registration number 60,840		/Sean M. Conner/ Signature		
		اد	ignature	
		Sean M. Conner Typed or printed name		
		Typed o	r printed name	
		(202)	) 293-7060	
		Teleph	none number	
		May	y 29, 2009	
			Date	

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Docket No: Q76293

Sven Maurice Joseph OOGHE, et al.

Appln, No.: 10/612,089 Group Art Unit: 2416

Confirmation No.: 5803 Examiner: Mon Cheri S. DAVENPORT

Filed: July 3, 2003

For: RESOURCE ADMISSION CONTROL IN AN ACCESS NETWORK

## PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

## MAIL STOP AF - PATENTS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Pursuant to the Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Pilot Program, and further to the Examiner's Final Office Action dated February 3, 2009, Applicant files this Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review. This Request is also accompanied by the filing of a Notice of Appeal.

Applicant turns now to the rejections at issue:

The Examiner rejected claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ma et al. (US Patent Number 5,953,338) in view of Christie et al. (US Patent 6,115,380). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections at least for the following reasons.

In the Amendment filed March 27, 2009 ("previous Amendment"), Applicants argued that Ma does not teach or suggest that after the user has requested said data stream, and if the user lacks support for negotiating or acknowledging the bandwidth through said access network with said quality of service, the virtual connection is identified out of said plurality of virtual connections provisioned to the user capable of guaranteeing said quality of service between said user and said access server.

In response, the Examiner asserts that:

"Ma et al. teaches a user can request or borrow from an already provisioned virtual connection, the leased capacity is to other customers on an ATM backbone, customers already have capacity, and are requesting more when they (specifically) need it." (page 2 of the Advisory Action)

The Examiner further asserts that:

"Ma et al. teaches when a user lacks support the required bandwidth, and the user is already provisioned bandwidth and needs more capacity. The user will borrow extra capacity from users that are not using their provisioned bandwidth. This is not a new connection as argued, as the bandwidth capacity is adjusted to accommodate the required bandwidth request." (page 3 of the Advisory Action)

Firstly, Ma does not teach or suggest that if the connection established <u>for the first user</u> lacks support for negotiating the bandwidth, a connection <u>already provisioned to the first user</u> is identified as being capable of guaranteeing the quality of service. Instead, Ma discloses that <u>other user connections (rather than connections provisioned to the requesting user)</u> are affected when a user lacks support for negotiating a bandwidth. That is, as the Examiner seemingly acknowledges, Ma discloses that when a first user lacks support for negotiating a bandwidth, <u>other user capacity</u> is borrowed.

Accordingly, Ma does not teach or suggest that after the user has requested said data stream, and if the user lacks support for negotiating or acknowledging the bandwidth through said access network with said quality of service, the a virtual connection is identified out of said plurality of virtual connections provisioned to the user capable of guaranteeing said quality of service between said user and said access server, as recited by claim 1. Christie does not cure this deficiency.

Secondly, the Examiner provides no support for the assertion Ma's request for bandwidth is not a new connection. As noted in the previous Amendment, Ma discloses throughout the specification that virtual connections are provisioned as needed in response to a user request for such a connection (see at least col. 7, lines 5-8 and col. 13, lines 18-58) rather than being already provisioned when a user requests capacity to make a call. Specifically, Ma discloses that the size of a virtual path is adjusted to provide extra capacity so that additional virtual channels can be created to accommodate a request from a client (col. 13, lines 38-41). When a client requests for a call, a centralized call admission control/usage monitor module 145 determines what virtual paths and virtual channels will be connected depending on a number of factors including quality of service expectations (column 7, lines 13-26) to another client. The control module 140 determines whether to allow the virtual connection to be setup (column 7, lines 5-8). An ATM switch 130K adjusts, alters, creates, or destroys the actual size of the virtual path containing virtual connections, so that, if possible, the call requested by the client can be made (column 7, lines 31-36).

Clearly, Ma's virtual connections are provisioned as needed in response to a user request for such a connection (see at least col. 7, lines 5-8 and col. 13, lines 18-58) rather than being already provisioned when a user requests capacity to make a call. That is, Ma is directed to setting up a connection in response to a request, rather than identifying an already provisioned connection. Thus, Ma does not teach that a user requests a data stream after the provisioning of a plurality of virtual connections, as recited by claim 1.

Christie does not cure the above noted deficiencies of Ma. Specifically, similarly to Ma, Christie's system provides ATM connections on a call by call basis (col. 5, lines 62-64). In other words, a call is requested before a connection between users 100 and 102 is established by signal processor. Accordingly, Christie does not teach or suggest that the user requests a data stream after the provisioning of a plurality of virtual connections specific to the user, as recited by claim 1.

Thirdly, the Examiner's above assertions do not support the conclusion that the alleged plurality of connections are specifically provisioned to the first user when the first user requests a data stream. Instead, Ma discloses that a requesting party (e.g., a first user) may borrow capacity from another party.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that Ma does not teach or suggest requesting, by the user, a data stream from a content provider after the provisioning of a plurality of virtual connections to the user, as recited by claim 1. As discussed in the previous Amendment, Christie does not cure this deficiency.

Because Ma and Christie, alone or in combination, do not teach all of the features of claim 1, Applicants submit that the claim is not rendered unpatentable by Ma and Christie.

Applicants also submit that claims 2-6, being dependent on claim 1, are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency.

Attorney Docket No. Q76293

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW U.S. Application No. 10/612,089

Independent claim 7 recites features similar to those discussed above in conjunction with claim 1. Thus, Applicants submit that claim 7 is patentable at least for reasons analogous to those discussed above regarding claim 1. Applicants also submit that claims 8-10, being dependent on claim 7, are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency.

Respectfully submitted,

/Sean M. Conner/ Sean M. Conner

Registration No. 60,840

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: May 29, 2009

5